



For Release: Wednesday, April 29, 2015

15-763-DAL

SOUTHWEST INFORMATION OFFICE: Dallas, Texas

Technical information: (972) 850-4800 BLSInfoDallas@bls.gov www.bls.gov/regions/southwest

Media contact: (972) 850-4800

Occupational Employment and Wages in Tulsa, May 2014

Workers in the Tulsa Metropolitan Statistical Area had an average (mean) hourly wage of \$20.53 in May 2014, about 10 percent below the nationwide average of \$22.71, according to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. Regional Commissioner Stanley W. Suchman noted that, after testing for statistical significance, wages in the local area were higher than their respective national averages in 1 of the 22 major groups—production occupations. Sixteen groups had wages that were measurably lower than their respective national averages; included in this group were computer and mathematical; education, training, and library; and construction and extraction. Wages in the remaining occupational groups were not statistically different from their respective national averages.

When compared to the nationwide distribution, local employment was more highly concentrated in 6 of the 22 occupational groups, including production; office and administrative support; and construction and extraction. Conversely, 10 groups had employment shares significantly below their national representation, including business and financial operations; education, training, and library; and computer and mathematical. (See table A and box note at end of release.)

Table A. Occupational employment and wages by major occupational group, United States and the Tulsa Metropolitan Statistical Area, and measures of statistical significance, May 2014

	Percent of total employment			Mean hourly wage			
Major occupational group	United States	Tulsa		United States	Tulsa		Percent difference ⁽¹⁾
Total, all occupations	100.0%	100.0%		\$22.71	\$20.80	*	-8
Management	5.0	5.7	*	54.08	46.59	*	-14
Business and financial operations	5.1	3.9	*	34.81	29.11	*	-16
Computer and mathematical	2.8	1.8	*	40.37	31.88	*	-21
Architecture and engineering	1.8	2.1	*	39.19	37.89		-3
Life, physical, and social science	0.8	0.5	*	33.69	37.01		10
Community and social service	1.4	1.3	*	21.79	18.35	*	-16
Legal	0.8	0.9		48.61	46.94		-3
Education, training, and library	6.2	5.1	*	25.10	20.01	*	-20
Arts, design, entertainment, sports, and media	1.3	0.9	*	26.82	23.86	*	-11
Healthcare practitioners and technical	5.8	5.5		36.54	33.51	*	-8
Healthcare support	2.9	2.6	*	13.86	13.16	*	-5
Protective service	2.4	2.0		21.14	17.56	*	-17
Food preparation and serving related	9.1	9.1		10.57	9.55	*	-10
Building and grounds cleaning and maintenance	3.2	2.6	*	12.68	10.93	*	-14
Personal care and service	3.1	2.6	*	12.01	10.64	*	-11
Sales and related	10.5	10.9		18.59	17.23	*	-7
Office and administrative support	16.0	17.1	*	17.08	16.03	*	-6

Note: See footnotes at end of table.

Table A. Occupational employment and wages by major occupational group, United States and the Tulsa Metropolitan Statistical Area, and measures of statistical significance, May 2014 - Continued

	Percer	nt of total emplo	oyment	Mean hourly wage			
Major occupational group	United Tulsa		United States	Tulsa		Percent difference ⁽¹⁾	
Farming, fishing, and forestry	0.3	0.1	*	12.09	14.09		17
Construction and extraction	3.9	4.8	*	22.40	18.39	*	-18
Installation, maintenance, and repair	3.9	4.6	*	21.74	20.01	*	-8
Production	6.6	9.5	*	17.06	18.12	*	6
Transportation and material moving	6.8	6.3		16.57	17.89		8

⁽¹⁾ A positive percent difference measures how much the mean wage in Tulsa is above the national mean wage, while a negative difference reflects a lower wage.

One occupational group—production—was chosen to illustrate the diversity of data available for any of the 22 major occupational categories. Tulsa had 40,720 jobs in production, accounting for 9.5 percent of local area employment, significantly higher than the 6.6-percent national share. The local average hourly wage for this occupational group was \$18.12, about 6 percent above the national average of \$17.06.

With employment of 5,210, team assemblers was one of the largest occupations within the production group, as were welders, cutters, solderers, and brazers (4,080) and production workers' helpers (3,130). Among the higher paying jobs were petroleum pump system operators, refinery operators, and gaugers and first-line supervisors of production and operating workers, with mean hourly wages of \$42.86 and \$28.26, respectively. At the lower end of the wage scale were laundry and dry-cleaning workers (\$10.43) and packaging and filling machine operators and tenders (\$11.43). (Detailed occupational data for production workers are presented in table 1; for a complete listing of detailed occupations see www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes_46140.htm.)

Location quotients allow us to explore the occupational make-up of a metropolitan area by comparing the composition of jobs in an area relative to the national average. (See table 1.) For example, a location quotient of 2.0 indicates that an occupation accounts for twice the share of employment in the area than it does nationally. In the Tulsa metropolitan area, above average concentrations of employment were found in many of the occupations within the production group. For instance, aircraft structure, surfaces, rigging, and systems assemblers were employed at 10.4 times the national rate in Tulsa, and petroleum pump system operators, refinery operators, and gaugers, at 5.0 times the U.S. average. Tulsa's aircraft structure, surfaces, rigging, and systems assemblers location quotient was among the highest in all metropolitan areas for this occupation. On the other hand, electrical and electronic equipment assemblers had a location quotient of 1.0 in Tulsa, meaning the local employment share in this particular occupation matched the national average.

These statistics are from the Occupational Employment Statistics (OES) survey, a federal-state cooperative program between BLS and State Workforce Agencies, in this case, the Oklahoma Employment Security Commission.

Note: * The percent share of employment or mean hourly wage for this area is significantly different from the national average of all areas at the 90-percent confidence level.

Note

A value that is statistically different from another does not necessarily mean that the difference has economic or practical significance. Statistical significance is concerned with the ability to make confident statements about a universe based on a sample. It is entirely possible that a large difference between two values is not significantly different statistically, while a small difference is, since both the size and heterogeneity of the sample affect the relative error of the data being tested.

Technical Note

The Occupational Employment Statistics (OES) survey is a semiannual mail survey measuring occupational employment and wage rates for wage and salary workers in nonfarm establishments in the United States. Guam, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands are also surveyed, but their data are not included in the national estimates. OES estimates are constructed from a sample of about 1.2 million establishments. Forms are mailed to approximately 200,000 sampled establishments in May and November each year. May 2014 estimates are based on responses from six semiannual panels collected over a 3-year period: May 2014, November 2013, May 2013, November 2012, May 2012, and November 2011. The overall national response rate for the six panels is 74.3 percent based on establishments and 70.5 percent based on weighted sampled employment. The unweighted employment of sampled establishments across all six semiannual panels represents approximately 57.1 percent of total national employment. (Response rates are slightly lower for these estimates due to the federal shutdown in October 2013.) The sample in the Tulsa Metropolitan Statistical Area included 3,614 establishments with a response rate of 77 percent. For more information about OES concepts and methodology, go to www.bls.gov/news.release/ocwage.tn.htm.

The OES survey provides estimates of employment and hourly and annual wages for wage and salary workers in 22 major occupational groups and 821 detailed occupations for the nation, states, metropolitan statistical areas, metropolitan divisions, and nonmetropolitan areas. In addition, employment and wage estimates for 94 minor groups and 458 broad occupations are available in the national data. OES data by state and metropolitan/nonmetropolitan area are available from www.bls.gov/oes/current/oessrcst.htm and www.bls.gov/oes/current/oessrcst.htm, respectively.

The May 2014 OES estimates are based on the 2010 Standard Occupational Classification (SOC) system and the 2012 North American Industry Classification System (NAICS). Information about the 2010 SOC is available on the BLS website at www.bls.gov/soc aand information about the 2012 NAICS is available at www.bls.gov/bls/naics.htm.

Area definitions

The substate area data published in this release reflect the standards and definitions established by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget.

The **Tulsa Metropolitan Statistical Area** includes Creek, Okmulgee, Osage, Pawnee, Rogers, Tulsa, and Wagoner Counties in Oklahoma.

Additional information

OES data are available on our regional web page at www.bls.gov/regions/southwest. Answers to frequently asked questions about the OES data are available at www.bls.gov/oes/oes_ques.htm. Detailed technical information about the OES survey is available in our Survey Methods and Reliability Statement on the BLS website at www.bls.gov/oes/currnet/methods statement.pdf.

Information in this release will be made available to sensory impaired individuals upon request. Voice phone: 202-691-5200; Federal Relay Service: 800-877-8339.

Table 1. Employment and wage data from the Occupational Employment Statistics survey, by occupation, Tulsa Metropolitan Statistical Area, May 2014

	Employm		Mean wages		
Occupation ⁽¹⁾	Level ⁽²⁾	Location quotient ⁽³⁾	Hourly	Annual ⁽⁴⁾	
Production occupations	40,720	1.4	\$18.12	\$37,69	
First-line supervisors of production and operating workers	2,710	1.4	28.26	58,78	
Aircraft structure, surfaces, rigging, and systems assemblers	1,330	10.4	20.04	41,68	
Coil winders, tapers, and finishers	(5)	(5)	17.36	36,11	
Electrical and electronic equipment assemblers	630	1.0	13.68	28,45	
Electromechanical equipment assemblers	730	4.9	18.12	37,70	
Engine and other machine assemblers	340	2.8	15.12	31,45	
Structural metal fabricators and fitters	730	3.0	17.09	35,55	
Team assemblers	5,210	1.5	15.70	32,65	
Assemblers and fabricators, all other	310	0.4	13.56	28,20	
Bakers	440	0.8	11.11	23,10	
Butchers and meat cutters	450	1.0	11.96	24,88	
Meat, poultry, and fish cutters and trimmers	70	0.1	13.17	27,39	
Food and tobacco roasting, baking, and drying machine operators and tenders	(5)	(5)	12.99	27,02	
Food batchmakers	410	1.1	11.86	24,6	
Food cooking machine operators and tenders	(5)	(5)	13.45	27,9	
Food processing workers, all other	80	0.6	11.66	24,2	
Computer-controlled machine tool operators, metal and plastic	1,060	2.3	19.57	40,7	
Computer numerically controlled machine tool programmers, metal and plastic	70	0.8	27.26	56,7	
Extruding and drawing machine setters, operators, and enders, metal and plastic	120	0.5	16.78	34,9	
Forging machine setters, operators, and tenders, metal and plastic	90	1.4	21.84	45,4	
Rolling machine setters, operators, and tenders, metal and plastic	390	3.7	17.25	35,8	
Cutting, punching, and press machine setters, operators, and tenders, metal and plastic	1,120	1.9	15.23	31,6	
Orilling and boring machine tool setters, operators, and lenders, metal and plastic	320	5.8	18.34	38,1	
Grinding, lapping, polishing, and buffing machine tool setters, operators, and tenders, metal and plastic	310	1.4	15.49	32,2	
Lathe and turning machine tool setters, operators, and tenders, metal and plastic	260	1.9	18.28	38,0	
Milling and planing machine setters, operators, and enders, metal and plastic	100	1.4	14.45	30,0	
Machinists	2,590	2.1	18.34	38,1	
Metal-refining furnace operators and tenders	(5)	(5)	14.68	30,5	
Foundry mold and coremakers	90	2.3	12.82	26,6	
Molding, coremaking, and casting machine setters, operators, and tenders, metal and plastic	250	0.6	12.45	25,9	
Multiple machine tool setters, operators, and tenders, netal and plastic	880	2.8	16.57	34,4	
Tool and die makers	200	0.9	23.43	48,7	
Welders, cutters, solderers, and brazers	4,080	3.5	20.19	41,9	
Nelding, soldering, and brazing machine setters, operators, and tenders	520	3.0	19.82	41,2	
Heat treating equipment setters, operators, and enders, metal and plastic	160	2.4	17.62	36,6	
Plating and coating machine setters, operators, and enders, metal and plastic	240	2.2	15.46	32,1	
Tool grinders, filers, and sharpeners	100	2.8	14.56	30,2	
Metal workers and plastic workers, all other	40	0.6	14.64	30,4	
Prepress technicians and workers	60	0.5	15.54	32,3	

Note: See footnotes at end of table.

Table 1. Employment and wage data from the Occupational Employment Statistics survey, by occupation, Tulsa Metropolitan Statistical Area, May 2014 - Continued

	Employ	yment	Mean w	rages
Occupation ⁽¹⁾	Level ⁽²⁾	Location quotient ⁽³⁾	Hourly	Annual ⁽⁴⁾
Printing press operators	280	0.5	15.34	31,910
Print binding and finishing workers	50	0.3	13.45	27,970
Laundry and dry-cleaning workers	600	0.9	10.43	21,680
Pressers, textile, garment, and related materials	170	1.1	9.55	19,860
Sewing machine operators	160	0.4	10.89	22,650
Upholsterers	70	0.7	17.59	36,590
Cabinetmakers and bench carpenters	190	0.7	15.85	32,970
Furniture finishers	(5)	(5)	16.58	34,490
Woodworking machine setters, operators, and tenders, except sawing	80	0.4	14.42	30,000
Power plant operators	180	1.4	29.81	62,010
Stationary engineers and boiler operators	70	0.6	23.55	48,990
Water and wastewater treatment plant and system operators	250	0.7	18.67	38,830
Gas plant operators	310	6.1	25.01	52,020
Petroleum pump system operators, refinery operators, and gaugers	660	5.0	42.86	89,150
Chemical equipment operators and tenders	310	1.5	24.13	50,180
Separating, filtering, clarifying, precipitating, and still machine setters, operators, and tenders	60	0.4	10.57	21,990
Crushing, grinding, and polishing machine setters, operators, and tenders	110	1.1	13.62	28,340
Grinding and polishing workers, hand	230	2.5	13.97	29,060
Mixing and blending machine setters, operators, and tenders	130	0.3	21.96	45,690
Cutters and trimmers, hand	50	1.1	15.36	31,940
Cutting and slicing machine setters, operators, and tenders	160	0.8	14.82	30,820
Extruding, forming, pressing, and compacting machine setters, operators, and tenders	90	0.4	14.43	30,010
Furnace, kiln, oven, drier, and kettle operators and tenders	80	1.2	15.97	33,210
Inspectors, testers, sorters, samplers, and weighers	2,540	1.6	20.52	42,670
Jewelers and precious stone and metal workers	30	0.5	(5)	(5)
Dental laboratory technicians	160	1.4	19.15	39,840
Medical appliance technicians	70	1.6	14.47	30,100
Ophthalmic laboratory technicians	120	1.4	11.69	24,310
Packaging and filling machine operators and tenders	900	0.7	11.43	23,770
Coating, painting, and spraying machine setters, operators, and tenders	680	2.4	17.24	35,860
Painters, transportation equipment	210	1.4	21.75	45,230
Painting, coating, and decorating workers	60	1.2	11.22	23,330
Photographic process workers and processing machine operators	100	1.1	10.93	22,740
Cleaning, washing, and metal pickling equipment operators and tenders	30	0.6	15.13	31,480
Etchers and engravers	(5)	(5)	15.77	32,800
Molders, shapers, and casters, except metal and plastic	90	0.8	14.68	30,540
Paper goods machine setters, operators, and tenders	540	1.9	21.45	44,610
Helpersproduction workers	3,130	2.4	13.52	28,120
Production workers, all other	410	0.6	16.37	34,060

⁽¹⁾ For a complete listing of all detailed occupations in the Tulsa MSA, see www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes_46140.htm.

⁽²⁾ Estimates for detailed occupations do not sum to the totals because the totals include occupations not shown separately. Estimates do not include self-employed workers.

⁽³⁾ The location quotient is the ratio of the area concentration of occupational employment to the national average concentration. A location quotient greater than one indicates the occupation has a higher share of employment than average, and a location quotient less than one indicates the occupation is less prevalent in the area than average.

(4) Annual wages have been calculated by multiplying the hourly mean wage by a 'year-round, full-time' hours figure of 2,080 hours; for those occupations where there is not an hourly mean wage published, the annual wage has been directly calculated from the reported survey data. (5) Estimates not released.